

Maria S.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

APPLN. FOR APPOINTMENT OF ARBITRATOR NO.21 OF 2022

ASHU JAY AGGARWAL

... APPLICANT

Versus

INDIGRA REALITY PVT. LTD, THR. ITS
DIRECTOR ARVIND CHUGH AND 2 ORS

... RESPONDENTS

Mr Eeshan Usapkar, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr A. Parrikar, Advocate for the respondents.

CORAM:- M. S. KARNIK, J.

DATED :- 4th May, 2023

P.C.

Learned counsel appearing for the parties tender Consent Terms which are taken on record and marked as Exhibit 'X' for identification. The authorised representative on behalf of respondent no.1 is present. Leave to amend.

2. Respondent no.3 in his personal capacity has duly signed the Consent Terms on his behalf as well as on behalf of respondent no.1. Learned counsel for the respondents identified respondent no.3. Learned counsel further submitted that the Consent Terms are duly signed by respondent no.2 in his presence. Statement is accepted. The Consent Terms are filed by the applicant no.1a, 1b, and 1c. The same have been signed in the presence of

Advocate for the applicants. They are not present in the Court but the learned counsel for the applicants submitted that the Consent Terms are duly signed in his presence.

3. The parties to abide by the terms mentioned in the Consent Terms. I have gone through the Consent Terms.

4. The application is disposed of in terms of the Consent Terms.

M. S. KARNIK, J.

MARIA SUZANA REBELLO Digitally signed by MARIA SUZANA REBELLO
DN: cn=SUZANA REBELLO, o=SUZANA REBELLO