

Maria S.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.242 OF 2021

Pratiksha Gangaram Pauskar @ Sangeeta
Khushali Naik And Anr. ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Goa, thr. The Chief Secretary of Govt
of Goa And 3 Ors. ... Respondents

Mr Gajendra Usgaonkar, Advocate for the Petitioners.

**Mr Devidas J. Pangam, Advocate General with Mr Prashil Arolkar,
Additional Government Advocate for Respondent State.**

Ms Neha Shirodkar, Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

Mr Ashwin D. Bhobe, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

**CORAM: M. S. SONAK &
BHARAT P. DESHPANDE,JJ.**

DATED : 3rd January, 2023

P.C.:

On the issue of establishment/designating family courts, the learned Advocate General has placed before us a communication dated 03.11.2022 addressed to the Registrar of the High Court of Bombay seeking concurrence to designate one of the existing courts

as a family court in every taluka and to function on nominated days and time.

2. Ms Shirodkar, learned counsel appearing for the High Court administration submits that some queries have arisen and the same will be intimated to the Government before any decision is taken on the issue of concurrence. She states that such queries inter alia concern issues of staff and infrastructure.

3. Presently, matrimonial petitions are taken up by the regular civil Courts functioning in the State of Goa. Atleast, the present petitioner has not made out a case that she has a right to the consideration of her case by a family court only. Accordingly, now that the Government has made the above proposal and such proposal is already forwarded to the High Court administration, we do not think any purpose will be served by keeping this petition pending.

4. Accordingly, we dispose of this petition. The State Government and the High Court administration to take appropriate decision on this issue.

5. The petition is disposed of.

BHARAT P. DESHPANDE, J.

M. S. SONAK, J.