

Suchitra

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

SECOND APPEAL NO.58/2022

VISHWAS NAMDEO KOTKAR ... APPELLANT

Versus

ULHAS GANESH KOTKAR ... RESPONDENT

Mr Shivan Desai, Advocate *for the Appellant.*

Mr Sudesh Usgaonkar with Ms Marie Rosette Pereira,
Advocates *for the Respondent.*

CORAM: M. S. SONAK, J.

DATED: 28th July 2023

P.C.:

1. Heard Mr Shivan Desai for the appellant and Mr Sudesh Usgaonkar for the respondent.
2. The learned counsel for the parties hand in terms of compromise which are taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification.
3. The terms of compromise are signed by the appellant and the respondent who are present in the Court. They say that they have signed these terms after understanding their full scope and import. The terms of compromise are also signed by the

respective advocates. The respective advocates have identified the parties.

4. I have perused the terms of compromise and they are not opposed to public policy. Therefore, there is no good reason not to accept the terms of compromise and dispose of this Second Appeal based on them.

5. Accordingly, this Second Appeal is disposed of based on the terms of compromise today handed in. The undertakings in the terms of compromise are accepted as undertakings to this Court. The respective parties will have to comply with the same.

6. Paragraph 11 sets out the precise terms which the parties have agreed to abide by.

7. Accordingly, there shall be a decree in terms of the compromise terms.

8. The Second Appeal is disposed of in the above terms without any cost order.

M. S. SONAK, J.

SUCHITRA
NANDAN
SINGBAL

Digitally signed by
Suchitra Nandan
Singhal
DN: cn=Suchitra Nandan
Singhal, o=Suchitra Nandan
Singhal, email=singhal@sonakj.com