



by law within two months. These consent terms were filed in this Court in First Appeal No.201/2002

2. I heard the parties at some length.

3. The learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent/ wife on taking instructions of the son of the Respondent who is present in Court and whom I am informed has authority to make a statement on behalf of the Respondent/wife, has stated that de hors the issue of limitation, the Inventory Proceedings are wholly unnecessary because the properties forming part of the consent terms dated 3.10.2006 are already partitioned by virtue of the said consent terms. She has stated that the Respondent stands by these consent terms.

4. In my opinion, once the Respondent accepts that the consent terms itself partitioned the properties between the Petitioner and the Respondent, she is correct in her submission that Inventory Proceedings are rendered wholly unnecessary.

5. In these circumstances, it is ordered that consent terms dated 3.10.2006 itself shall be treated as a Deed of Partition of the assets between the Petitioner and the Respondent as more particularly set out in the said consent terms.

6. Appeal from Order is accordingly disposed of.
7. This order will be digitally signed by the Private Secretary/Personal Assistant of this Court. All concerned will act on production by fax or email of a digitally signed copy of this order.

**B. P. COLABAWALLA, J.**

VINITA VIKAS NAIK Digitally signed by VINITA VIKAS NAIK  
Date: 2023.03.03 12:32:18 +05'30'