

Jose

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.143 OF 2023

DEEPIKA KESHI AND 4 ORS.

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF GOA, THR. THE
CHIEF SECRETARY AND 5 ORS.

... Respondents

Mr Rohit Bras de Sa with Mr Pushkar Navti, Advocates *for the Petitioners.*

Mr D. Pangam, Advocate General with Mr Shubham Priolkar, Additional Government Advocate *for the Respondent-State.*

Mr A.D. Naik, Advocate *for Respondent No.3.*

Mr Deepak Gaonkar, Advocate *for Respondent No.4.*

Mr Shivraj Gaonkar, Advocate *for Respondent Nos.5 and 6.*

**CORAM: M.S. SONAK &
VALMIKI SA MENEZES, JJ.**

DATED: 17th April, 2023

P.C.:

1. After this matter was argued for some time, the learned Counsel for the parties proposed that this petition could be disposed of by treating this petition as a complaint to Respondent No.3 and the replies filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.5 and 6 could be treated as replies to this complaint.

2. The learned Advocate General states that the Deputy Town Planner, who granted the impugned technical clearance, will hear the

Petitioners and Respondent Nos.5 and 6 and dispose of the Petitioners' complaint as expeditiously as possible and not later than two months from today.

3. The Deputy Town Planner must communicate his decision to the Petitioners and Respondent Nos.5 and 6 within this period of two months.

4. All contentions of all parties are left open.

5. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

6. All concerned are to act on an authenticated copy of this order.

VALMIKI SA MENEZES, J.

M.S. SONAK, J.

JOSE
FRANCISCO
DSOUZA

Digitally signed by
JOSE FRANCISCO
DSOUZA
Date: 2023.04.18
10:31:45 +05'30'