

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

48 WRIT PETITION NO.584 OF 2019

Sundarabai w/o. Vitthal Patil,
Age 84 year, Occu. Agril.
Through her (G.P.A.) Lr.s.
Nandlal s/o. Vitthal Patil
Age: 50 years, Occu : Agril.
Both R/o. Kusumbe, Tq.Chopda,
Dist. Jalgaon.

[Amended or leave granted by Hon'ble
H.C.vide order dated.13.1.2022 in C.A.
5496/2020]

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & other

.. RESPONDENTS

...
Advocate for the petitioners : Mr.P.B.Patil
AGP for Respondent-State : Mr.N.T.Bhagat
Advocate for Respondent no.5 : Mr.Girish Rane
...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.
DATE : 16.01.2023

P.C. :

1] The learned AGP submits that there is alternate
remedy available in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble
Apex Court in the case of **Gurudassing Nawoosing Panjwani**
Vs. State of Maharashtra reported in **2015 (6) Mh.L.J. 915.**

2] In view of the objections raised by the learned AGP, the petitioner seeks leave of this Court to withdraw the present Writ Petition, with liberty to file appropriate proceedings before the appropriate Authority to challenge the impugned order.

3] The petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present Writ Petition with aforesaid liberty. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed.

4] In the event, the petitioner files the proceedings to challenge the impugned order before the appropriate authority, the time spent in prosecuting the present Writ Petition would be excluded under Section 14 of the Limitation Act for computing the period of limitation in filing the appropriate proceedings before the authority.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER]
JUDGE