

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

APPLICATION FOR CANCELLATION OF BAIL NO.185 OF 2019

PRABHAKAR S/O HARIBHAU SURADKAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Ms. M. M. Deshpande, Advocate h/f J.p.legal Associates, for
Applicant
Mrs. R. P. Gour, APP for Respondent – State
...

CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.

DATE : 19th JANUARY, 2023

PER COURT :

1. By this application filed under Sections 439(2) and 482 of Cr.P.C., applicant challenges anticipatory bail granted in favour of respondent Nos. 2 to 10, by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-6, Aurangabad, in Crime No.147/2019, registered with Sillod police station, Aurangabad, for offences punishable under Sections 405, 406, 415, 417, 418, 419, 420, 423, 424, 425, 426, 463, 464, 465, 466, 468, 470, 471, 474 and 120-A r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
2. Heard learned advocate for applicant and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for State. Perused the investigation papers.
3. Learned advocate for applicant strenuously argued that

the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Aurangabad, has committed error in granting anticipatory bail to respondent Nos. 2 to 10, by ignoring the seriousness of the allegations made against them and the fact that their custody is necessary for effective investigation. According to her, the impugned order passed by the Sessions Court is perverse and is unsustainable.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor supported the impugned order. She submits that in the present crime charge-sheet is filed on 09/07/2022 and the case is numbered as R.C.C. No.92/2022. Today, the matter is kept before trial Court for appearance of accused persons. Considering the subsequent developments, this Court is not inclined to entertain the application. Application is, therefore, rejected.

(NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.)