

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6320 OF 2022 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 37708 OF 2019**

The Executive Engineer,
Minor Irrigation, Z. P. Osmanabad Applicant

Versus

Sulbha Viukas Padwal & others Respondents

Mr. R. D. Raut, advocate for the applicant.
Mr. S. P. Tiwari, AGP for Respondents No.2 & 3.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 19th July, 2023.

PC :

Heard learned Counsel for the applicant-acquiring body. Respondent-State authorities are formal parties, whereas, respondent no.1-claimant, despite service, remained absent.

The applicant-acquiring body is seeking condonation of delay of 2011 days, which appears to be caused due to obtaining approvals at various stages. There is no oppose to the application.

Hence, considering the statutory right of the

applicant, delay of 2011 days stands condoned.

Appeal be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

Civil Application is disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

adb

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 15977 OF 2022 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 37708 OF 2019**

The Executive Engineer,
Minor Irrigation, Z. P. Osmanabad Applicant

Versus

Sulbha Viukas Padwal & others Respondents

Mr. R. D. Raut, advocate for the applicant.
Mr. S. P. Tiwari, AGP for Respondents No.2 & 3.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 19th July, 2023.

PC :

Heard learned Counsel for the applicant-acquiring body. Respondent-State authorities are formal parties, whereas, respondent no.1-claimant, despite service, remained absent.

There shall be interim relief in terms of prayer clause {B} subject to deposit of entire amount of compensation along with interest within the period of twelve weeks.

**(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE**

adb