



- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

939 WRIT PETITION NO.14769 OF 2023

SHUBHANGI RAMRAO GAIKWAD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND OTHERS

Mr.E.S.Murge, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr.P.K.Lakhotiya, AGP for the Respondent/State.
Mr.S.B.Ghute, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

(CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.)

DATE : DECEMBER 5, 2023

PER COURT :

1. The Petitioner has put forth prayer clause [B], which reads as under:-

*“[B] By issuing writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or directions in the like nature, Respondent no.3, Chief Executive Officer may kindly be directed to consider and decide claims/representations of the petitioner annexed at **EXH-C** in the light of circular dt. 28.06.2018 and G.R. dated 21.06.2023, for changing the postings of the petitioner by opening the posts of compulsory vacancies.”*

2. The learned Advocate representing Respondent No. 3

submits, on instructions, that the representation put forth by the Petitioner would be decided by the Respondents.

3. In view of the above, the Petitioner is satisfied.
4. Respondent Nos. 3 should respond to the representation, in the light of the circular dated 28.06.2018, within six weeks and convey the decision to the Petitioner within three weeks thereafter.
5. **The Writ Petition is, therefore, disposed off.**

(Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)