

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

916 WRIT PETITION NO.147 OF 2023

PANDURANG TRIMBAKAPPA JUJGAR AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH
ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Mahesh P. Kale
AGP for the respondent – State : Mr. S.J. Salgare
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 12 JANUARY 2023

PC :

Learned advocate for the petitioners submits that they were made to believe that they would be paid the rate as was paid to some other individual before executing the sale deed by way of private negotiations, however, commitment has not been obeyed. They are now claiming the rate that was agreed upon.

2. When we expressed our dis-inclination to consider the claim on merits inasmuch as it is a matter of execution of a sale deed specifically stipulating the amount of consideration, the learned advocate for the petitioners, on instructions, submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if in accordance with the alternate relief being claimed, the respondents are directed to consider their representation Exhibit – E.

3. We dispose of the writ petition by requesting the respondents to consider and take appropriate decision on the petitioners' representation dated 23-02-2022 as expeditiously as possible.

[S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR]
JUDGE

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE

arp/