

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

965 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4052 OF 2023

- 1) Irfan Abdul Mannan Ghongade
- 2) Rehana Abdul Mannan Ghongade
- 3) Abdul Mannan Sahamohammad Ghongade ...Applicants

Versus

- 1) The State Of Maharashtra
- 2) Summaiya Yasmin Irfan Ghongade ...Respondents

.....

AND

966 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4054 OF 2023

- 1) Irfan Abdul Mannan Ghongade
- 2) Rehana Abdul Mannan Ghongade
- 3) Abdul Mannan Sahamohammad Ghongade ...Applicants

Versus

- 1) The State of Maharashtra
- 2) Summaiya Yasmin Irfan Ghongade ...Respondents

.....

Mr. Majid Shaikh h/f Mr. Sachin S. Deshmukh, Advocate for Applicants
Mr. V.K. Kotecha and Ms. R.R. Tandale, APPs for Respondent No.1

Mr. G.R. Syed, advocate for respondent No.2. in both the matters.

.....

**CORAM : R. G. AVACHAT AND
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.
DATED : 30th NOVEMBER, 2023.**

O R D E R (PER SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

Heard learned advocates for the respective parties.

2. Criminal application No. 4052 of 2023 is filed for
quashment of F.I.R. No. 46 of 2014 registered with Nanalpeth police

station, Parbhani for the offences punishable under Sections 498-A, 323, 504, 506, 506(2), 34 of I.P.C. and sections 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act and consequential charge sheet i.e. proceedings bearing R.C.C. No. 388 of 2018 pending before the learned J.M.F.C. Parbhani whereas criminal application No. 4054 of 2023 is filed for quashing of F.I.R. No. 225 of 2023 registered with Nanalpeth police station, District Parbhani for the offences punishable under Sections 452, 223, 504, 506, 34 of I.P.C. and Sections 2, 3 and 4 of Maharashtra Prevention and Eradication of Human Sacrifice and other Inhuman, Evil and Aghori Practices and Black Magic Ordinance, 2013 and the consequential proceedings bearing R.C.C. No. 336 of 2018 pending before the learned J.M.F.C. Parbhani.

3. The applicants as well as the informant are present before the Court. Learned advocates for the applicants and learned advocate for respondent-informant submit that the parties have amicably settled the dispute between them. The informant, who is present before the court does not dispute the same.

4. In view of the above and considering the fact that the matter is compromised between the parties, both the criminal applications are allowed in terms of prayer clause "A" and disposed of.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

(R. G. AVACHAT, J.)