

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 90 OF 2023 IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 213 OF 2016**

Shabana Begum w/o Shaikh Rashid Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others Respondents

Mr. P. B. Kadam, advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. S. S. Dande, AGP for Respondent No.1.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 09th March, 2023.

PC :

Heard rival submissions.

The petitioner is seeking action against the respondents under the provisions of Contempt of Courts Act by relying upon the order dated 17.03.2017 in Criminal Revision Application No.213 of 2016.

However, on going through the said order, it appears that there was no direction given by this Court to the respondents to act in specific manner. Further, the order indicates that though the consent terms were filed between

the parties, but there was no order of execution of those consent terms by this Court. On the contrary, it is seen that the Petitioner had, in fact, withdrawn Criminal Revision Application filed by her, in view of those consent terms.

As such, since nothing is made binding on the parties under those consent terms by this Court, the present Contempt Petition is not maintainable and stands dismissed.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

adb