

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

911 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2087 OF 2022
IN FAST/7462/2020

DAGU GANPATRAO SURASE THR SON RAOSAHEB DAGADU
SURASE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

AND
912 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3383 OF 2022
IN FAST/31520/2019

SATISH MANSUBRAO UBALE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

AND
913 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5736 OF 2022
IN FAST/34993/2019

NARAYAN MAHADU SONAWANE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

AND
914 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6191 OF 2022
IN FAST/34990/2019

PARASRAM DAGADU DOLAS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr. D.R. Jaybhar, Advocate for the applicants.
Mr. A.B. Chate and Mr. S.N. Kendre AGPs for respondent State
in respective applications.
Mr. Ram Deshpande, Advocate for respondent No.2 in Civil
Application No. 2087 of 2022.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 14th June 2023.

ORDER:-

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods mentioned in these applications, which appear to be caused due to their poor financial condition.
3. The learned AGP as well as learned Counsel for the Acquiring Body in one matter strongly opposed the applications on the ground that no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay periods and there is also circulation delay.
4. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the period of aforesaid delay and also for delay in circulating the matters belatedly.
5. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.
6. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)