

drp

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4250 OF 2022**  
**IN**  
**CRIMINAL APPEAL STAMP NO. 11774 OF 2022**

Vinod Vikram Paithankar

APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and Another

RESPONDENTS

.....  
Mr. Sanjeev B. Deshpande, Advocate for the applicant  
Mr. A. A. Jagatkar, APP for respondent - State  
.....

**[CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]**

**DATE : 18<sup>th</sup> JANUARY, 2023**

**ORDER :**

1. Heard.
2. For the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed and delay of 46 days in preferring appeal is condoned.
3. Appeal be registered.

**[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI]**  
**JUDGE**

drp

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CRIMINAL APPEAL STAMP NO. 11774 OF 2022**

Vinod Vikram Paithankar

APPELLANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and Another

RESPONDENTS

.....  
Mr. Sanjeev B. Deshpande, Advocate for the appellant  
Mr. A. A. Jagatkar, APP for respondent - State  
.....

**[CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]**

**DATE : 18<sup>th</sup> JANUARY, 2023**

**ORDER :**

1. Heard.
2. Admit.
3. Call for the Record and Proceedings.

**[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI]**  
**JUDGE**

drp

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4251 OF 2022**  
**IN**  
**CRIMINAL APPEAL STAMP NO. 11774 OF 2022**

Vinod Vikram Paithankar

APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and Another

RESPONDENTS

.....  
Mr. Sanjeev B. Deshpande, Advocate for the applicant  
Mr. A. A. Jagatkar, APP for respondent - State  
.....

**[CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]**

**DATE : 18<sup>th</sup> JANUARY, 2023**

**ORDER :**

1. Heard.
2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 8<sup>th</sup> February, 2023. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor waives service for respondent No.1.
3. Respondent No.2 to be served through the Investigation Officer.

**[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI]**  
**JUDGE**