

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16860 OF 2022 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 33423 OF 2022**

Sandip s/o Balasaheb Dahiphale
and others

Applicants

Versus

Sow. Ashwini Sandip Dahiphale

Respondent

Mr. R. R. Karpe, advocate for the Applicants

Mr. V. P. Narwade, advocate holding for Mr. R. B. Temak,
advocate for the Respondent.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 04th January, 2023.

PC :

Heard rival submissions.

The applicants are seeking condonation of delay of only 16 days, which, according to them, caused due to filing the matter under wrong category.

Though the learned Counsel for the Respondent strongly opposed the application, but considering the short period of delay, it stands condoned.

The appeal be placed for admission after removal

of office objections, if any.

Civil Application is disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

adb

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16862 OF 2022 IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 33423 OF 2022**

Sandip s/o Balasaheb Dahiphale
and others

Applicants

Versus

Sow. Ashwini Sandip Dahiphale

Respondent

Mr. R. R. Karpe, advocate for the Applicants
Mr. V. P. Narwade, advocate holding for Mr. R. B. Temak,
advocate for the Respondent.

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 04th January, 2023.

PC :

Since learned Counsel for the appellant undertakes to remove all the office objections within a period of two weeks, the *ad interim* relief granted vide order dated 14th December, 2022, is continued till then.

Stand over to 18.01.2023.

**(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE**

adb