

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
WRIT PETITION NO.13898 OF 2023**

BANDU SHESHERAO GADE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Gaikwad Amol Ratan
AGP for Respondents/State: Mr. S. R. Yadav - Lonikar
Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 and 4: Mr. S. B. Bhosle

...
**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
NEERAJ P. DHOTE, JJ.**

DATE : 04.11.2023

PER COURT :

- . The petitioner who is a villager is keen that the public work proposed by issuing a tender notice by the respondent should be taken to its logical end and he is seeking direction against the respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for respondent nos.3 & 4 tenders across the bar a communication received by him *inter alia* informing that it was decided in the District Annual Plan to abandon the works wherever work order has not been issued, in a meeting of the District Planning Committee held on 16/10/2023. The communication is taken on record and marked 'X' for the purpose of identification.
3. In view of such supervening event, nothing survives in the writ petition. Hence, the writ petition is disposed of.

[NEERAJ P. DHOTE, J.]

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]