

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

929 BAIL APPLICATION NO.2061 OF 2022

MUKESH DATTATRAYA MANKAR AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...
Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Andhale Sandip Ramnath
APP for Respondents: Mr. K. S. Patil
...

**CORAM : S.G. MEHARE J.
DATE : 17.01.2023.**

PER COURT :-

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicants and learned A.P.P for for respondent-State.

2. The applicants are seeking bail on the ground that trial has not opened. However, the learned Sessions Judge, Ahmednagar has observed that one witness was examined. Now, the learned A.P.P would submit that already three witnesses are examined. The grievance of the applicants is that the complainant is unnecessarily protracting the trial by filing one application after another. Therefore, the trial has been unnecessarily stalled. Considering the progress in the trial, the applicants have no case for bail. However, the

learned trial Court is directed not to allow any person including the complainant to protract the trial without justifiable reason. The trial should be conducted strictly as per the law.

3. With the above observations, application stands disposed of.

(S.G. MEHARE J.)

ysk