

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

937 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.16437 OF 2022
IN WPST/33260/2021

SUNITA DNYANESHWAR BHARUDE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND
OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. S.B. Solanke
AGP for Respondent No.1: Mr. S.K. Tambe
Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. P.P. Kothari
Advocate for Respondent No.4s : Ms. Pallavi Wangikar h/f Mr. R.V.
Gore

.....

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.
DATED : 3rd MARCH, 2023.**

PER COURT :-

1. The learned Registrar (Judicial) refused registration of the Writ Petition on account of non removal of office objections.
2. We have heard the learned advocates for the respective sides. The Respondents have strongly opposed this application.
3. Since the delay of 257 days is neither too large nor does it appear to be deliberate, the same deserves to be condoned in the light of the law laid down by The Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Collector, Land Acquisition, Anantnag and another vs. Mst. Katiji and others, (1987) 2 SCC 107*** and ***Esha Bhattacharjee vs. Managing Committee of Raghunathpur Nafar Academy and***

others, 2013 (12) SCC 649.

4. In view of the above, this Civil Application is allowed. All office objections shall be removed, on or before 18.03.2023. Thereafter, the Writ Petition shall stand restored at the stage at which it was dismissed.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE , J.)

rlj/