

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**908 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1490 OF 2022
IN FAST/34274/2021 WITH CA/1492/2022 IN
FAST/34386/2021 WITH CA/1491/2022 IN FAST/34390/2021**

**SHRIDHAR S/O LAXMAN JOSHI (DIED) THROUGH LRS
INDUMATI AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE COLLECTOR,
LATUR AND OTHERS**

AND

**909 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1700 OF 2022
IN FAST/34213/2021 WITH CA/1710/2022 IN
FAST/34346/2021 WITH CA/1702/2022 IN FAST/34349/2021
WITH CA/1708/2022 IN FAST/34352/2021 WITH
CA/1709/2022 IN FAST/34358/2021 WITH CA/1703/2022 IN
FAST/34362/2021 WITH CA/1704/2022 IN FAST/34369/2021
WITH CA/1705/2022 IN FAST/34371/2021 WITH
CA/1706/2022 IN FAST/34373/2021 WITH CA/1707/2022 IN
FAST/34380/2021 WITH CA/1701/2022 IN FAST/34382/2021**

**KISAN LIMBRAJ SALUNKE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE COLLECTOR,
LATUR AND OTHERS**

...

**Mr. Gopal Kale, Advocate for the applicants.
Mr. R.D. Sanap, A.G.P. for respondent Nos.1 and 2.
Mr. B.R. Survase and Mr. Ramdas Singare, Advocates for
respondent No.3 Acquiring Body.**

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 09.08.2023.

ORDER:-

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of

delay periods mentioned in these applications, which appear to be caused due to their poor financial condition.

3. The learned AGP as well as learned Counsel for the respondent Acquiring Body strongly opposed the applications on the ground that no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay periods.

4. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the period of aforesaid delay.

5. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

6. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)