

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**923 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.339 OF 2023
IN FA/1463/2020**

**GORAKSHANATH SHANKAR PATOLE
VERSUS
DOIPHODE AJINATH VIJAY @ VILAS AND ANOTHER**

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Jayabhar Dattatraya R.
Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. Abhiit Chaudhari

...
CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 11.01.2023

PER COURT :

Heard learned counsel for the applicant. The applicant is seeking permission to change the name of respondent No.1 as mentioned in prayer clause 'B'. The bailiff report indicates that respondent No.1 refused to accept the notice since there was difference in the name of his father. According to him, his father's name is Vilas and not Vijay as mentioned in the appeal. As such, for effective service upon respondent No.1 in the application is allowed in terms of prayer clauses 'B' and 'C'. The correction be carried out forthwith and on such correction, issue notice to respondent No.1, returnable on 08.02.2023.

2. The application stands accordingly disposed of.

**(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE**

shp/-