

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

59 WRIT PETITION NO.13201 OF 2023

ALKA VITTHAL ADSUL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS
AND
105 WRIT PETITION NO.13297 OF 2023

MOHANSINH DHONDUSINH THAKUR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Mr. E. S. Murge, Advocate for Petitioners
Mr. A. V. Deshmukh and Mr. P. K. Lakhotiya, AGP for Respondents State
Mr. S. B. Ghute, Advocate for Respondents 3 & 4

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.
DATE : 25th October, 2023

ORDER:

1. The Petitioners have put forth an identical prayer clause [B], which reads as under:-

*“[B] By issuing writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or directions in the like nature, Respondent no.3, Chief Executive Officer may kindly be directed to consider and decide claims/representations of the petitioner annexed at **EXH-C** in the light of circular dt. 28.06.2018 and G.R. dated 21.06.2023, for changing the postings of the petitioner by opening the posts of compulsory vacancies.”*

2. The learned Advocate representing Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 submits, on instructions, that the representations put forth by the Petitioners would be decided by the Respondents.

3. In view of the above, the Petitioners are satisfied.

4. **These Writ Petitions are, therefore, disposed off.**

5. Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 should respond to the representations, in the light of the circular dated 28.06.2018, within six weeks and convey the decision to the Petitioners within three weeks thereafter.

(Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

JPChavan