

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

96 WRIT PETITION NO.13258 OF 2023

**HARIHAR DATTATRAYA PATKI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROUGH THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Joshi Sujit D
AGP for Respondents State: Mr. P. S. Patil

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.

DATE : 25th October, 2023

ORDER:

1. The Petitioner was in the service of the District Collector's Office as an Ombudsman under a Scheme originally floated by the Union of India and implemented by the Government of Maharashtra.

2. We have perused Section 3 (q) of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, which would cover matters relating to service of a person, including conditions of service in connection with the affairs of the State and as regards the remuneration, tenure, confirmation etc.. Section 15(1) and Sub Clause (a) would indicate that even matters pertaining to recruitment to any service of the State would render such matters maintainable before the learned Maharashtra Administrative tribunal.

3. In view of the above, **we dispose off this Petition** from the file of this Court and we direct the Registry to transfer this Petition to the learned Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal at Aurangabad forthwith.

(Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

JPChavan