



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**104 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.12992 OF 2023 IN CA/8430/2022
IN FAST/1598/2022**

GOPAL JANGALU PANDHARE

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR S.L.A.O. MINOR
IRRIGATION JALGAON AND ORS**

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Patil Vinod Prakash
AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 : Mr. N.S. Tekale
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. A.G. Vasmatkar

AND

**105 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13015 OF 2023 IN CA/8415/2022
IN FAST/1495/2022**

KAILAS NIVRUTTI BANKAR

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR S.L.A.O. MINOR
IRRIGATION JALGAON AND ORS**

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Patil Vinod Prakash
AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 : Mr. Ruchir S. Wani
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. B.K. Patil

AND

**106 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13026 OF 2023 IN CA/8414/2022
IN FAST/1589/2022**

VASUDEV KADUBA DIKE

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR S.L.A.O. MINOR
IRRIGATION JALGAON AND ORS**

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Patil Vinod Prakash
AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 : Ms. M.L. Sangit
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. B.K. Patil

AND

**107 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13028 OF 2023 IN CA/8458/2022
IN FAST/1595/2022**

**KASABAI DAGADU CHANDRATRE AND ORS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR S.L.A.O. MINOR
IRRIGATION JALGAON AND ORS**

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Patil Vinod Prakash
AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 : Mr. N.S. Tekale
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. A.G. Vasmatkar

AND

**109 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.13041 OF 2023
IN FAST/1591/2022**

**VIJAYABAI @ PADMABAI UKHARDU PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR S.L.A.O. MINOR
IRRIGATION JALGAON AND ORS**

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Patil Vinod Prakash
AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 : Mr. Ruchir S. Wani
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. A.G. Vasmatkar

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 04.12.2023

P.C. :

- 1] Heard the learned counsel for the parties.
- 2] The present applications are filed for restoration of the appeals with a prayer to condone the delay of 324 days in filing the restoration applications.
- 3] The appeals were dismissed vide order dated 20.10.2022 by this Court for non removal of the office objections.

4] The learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants are poor agriculturists and their lands are compulsorily acquired. Learned counsel further submits that the applicants would not claim interest and statutory benefit for the delayed period of filing the restoration applications.

5] The learned counsel appearing for the acquiring body – respondent no.3 has strongly opposed the applications for condonation of delay.

6] Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, I deem it appropriate to condone the delay of 324 days in filing the restoration applications, subject to condition that the applicant would not be entitled to interest and statutory benefit for the delayed period.

7] The appeals are restored and the order dated 20.10.2022 passed by this Court is recalled to the extent of present applicants.

8] Office objections, if any, to be removed within a period of eight (08) weeks from today.

9] The civil applications stand disposed of.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER]
JUDGE