

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

904 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3857 OF 2022

1. Ravi @ Ravindra s/o Hirasing Rathod
2. Swati w/o Ravi @ Ravindra Rathod
3. Reshma w/o Kishor Rathod ...Applicants

versus

1. The State of Maharashtra
2. Rekha w/o Maroti Khadse ...Respondents

...
Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Shivashish V. Bhopi
APP for Respondent No.1: Mr. R.V. Dasalkar
Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. Ajinkya Reddy

.....

**CORAM : R. G. AVACHAT AND
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.
DATED : 17th OCTOBER, 2023.**

PER COURT :-

After having heard learned advocate for the applicants, learned A.P.P. for respondent No.1-State and learned advocate appointed to represent respondent No.2, we find it to be not a fit case to grant any relief to the applicants.

2. Two of the applicants are female. We request the trial court to grant them permanent exemption from appearing before it until the conclusion of trial. However, it would be at liberty to enforce their presence for framing of charge, recording of their statements under Section 313 of Cr.P.C. etc. provided the learned advocate for

the applicants would co-operate the trial court to take the case to its logical conclusion at the earliest. If the trial court finds no co-operation from the applicants, it may enforce their presence by coercive mode.

3. With these observations and directions, the application stands disposed of.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

(R. G. AVACHAT, J.)

rlj/