

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

SECOND APPEAL NO.724 OF 2016
WITH CA/14164/2016 IN SA/724/2016

PARAMJITSINGH TIRATHSING SAHANI
VERSUS
MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AURANGABAD THRO. COMMISSIONER AND
ANOTHER

...

Mr. A. R. Rathod, Advocate for the Appellant.

Mr. N. S. Tekale h/f Mr. A. R. Vaidya, Advocate for the
Respondent No. 1.

Mr. H. T. Sahani, Party-in-person present.

...

CORAM : R.M. JOSHI, J

DATE : MARCH 08, 2023

PER COURT :

1. Learned Counsel for Appellant states that in view of change in circumstances, he has instructions to withdraw present Second Appeal with liberty to submit an application as per the procedure of law for regularization of the structure in question.

2. Respondent No. 2, party-in-person objects for any liberty being granted. He further records no objection for simplicitor withdrawal of the Appeal.

3. Though the Appeal is sought to be withdrawn in view of the change in circumstances, the liberty has sought need not to be granted. Any remedy available to

the Appellant would always be availed in accordance with law.

4. In the circumstances, the Appeal is disposed of as withdrawn simplicitor.

(R.M. JOSHI, J.)