

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

967 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.16465 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 28662 OF 2022

PANDURANG UTTAM SOLANKE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR
PARBHANI AND OTHERS

AND

968 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 299 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 28677 OF 2022
WITH CA/300/2023 IN FAST/29345/2022

VENKATI S/O RAMBHAU DHUMAL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE COLLECTOR
PARBHANI AND OTHERS

AND

969 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 305 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 28842 OF 2022
WITH CA/304/2023 IN FAST/29328/2022
WITH CA/303/2023 IN FAST/29331/2022

MADHAVRAO BAPURAO DOIEPHODE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE COLLECTOR AT
PARBHANI AND OTHERS

AND

970 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 411 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 29898 OF 2022
WITH CA/412/2023 IN FAST/29900/2022

SHIRAJUDDIN ABDUL WAHED DESHMUKH
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE

COLLECTOR JALNA AND OTHERS

AND

971 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 417 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 29921 OF 2022

ARJUN PRALHADRAO CHAVAL AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE
COLLECTOR PARBHANI

AND

972 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 418 OF 2023
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 29932 OF 2022
WITH CA/420/2023 IN FAST/30519/2022
WITH CA/419/2023 IN FAST/30527/2022

BHUJANGRAO RAMRAO KANSHE THROUGH LRS.
PARMESHWAR BHUJANGRAO KANSHE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE
COLLECTOR AT JALNA AND OTHERS

...

Mr. V.D. Bhise – Advocate for Applicants
Ms. G.L. Deshpande, Mr. S.S. Dande – AGP's for Respondent
Nos.1 and 3, State (in respective applications)
Mr.S.C. Arora – Advocate for Respondent No.2, Acquiring Body
(in respective applications)

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATE : 14th March, 2023

PER COURT :

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods mentioned in these applications, which appear

to be caused due to their poor financial condition.

3. The learned A.G.P. as well as the learned counsel for the acquiring body strongly opposed the application on the ground that no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay periods.

4. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the period of aforesaid delay.

5. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

6. The Civil Applications are accordingly disposed of.

[SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE]
JUDGE

Pooja K.