

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

23 WRIT PETITION NO.12348 OF 2023

**SAJID AHMED HABEEBUDDIN SHAIKH
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY
AND OTHERS**

....

Mr Balaji S. Shinde, Advocate for Petitioner;
Mr S. G. Karlekar, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos.1 & 2
Mr Vinod M. Vibhute, Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 & 4

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.**

DATE : 9th October, 2023

PER COURT:

1. The Petitioner has put forth prayer clause [B] as under:-

"[B] By issuing writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or directions in the like nature, respondents no. 3, Chief Executive Officer may kindly be directed to consider and decide claims/representations of the petitioners annexed at EXH-C in the light of circular dtd 28.06.2018 and G.R. dated 21/06/2023, for changing the postings of the petitioner by opening the posts of compulsory vacancies."

2. The learned Advocate representing respondent Nos.3

(2)

and 4 submits, on instructions, that the representations put forth by the Petitioner would be decided by the Respondents within four weeks.

3. In view of the above, the Petitioner is satisfied.

4. As such, **this Writ Petitions is disposed off.**

5. Respondent Nos.3 and 4 should respond to the representations of the Petitioner within four weeks and convey the decision to the Petitioners in 45 days, in the light of the Circular dated 28/06/2018 and the Government Resolution dated 21/06/2023.

(Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

sjk