

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**907 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1684 OF 2022
IN X-OBJST/28860/2019**

**KAILASH RAIBHAN TAYDE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER**

**AND
908 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1686 OF 2022
IN X-OBJST/28857/2019**

**BALASAHEB RAIBHAN TAYDE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER**

**AND
915 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.5351 OF 2023
IN X-OBJST/30596/2019**

**JANARDHAN LAXMAN TAYDE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER**

...

Mr. Abhishek Hajare, Advocate for the applicants.
Mr. B.S. Deshmukh, Advocate for the respondent.
Mr. S.S. Dande and Mr. S.W. Mundhe, AGPs for respondent
State in respective applications.
Mr. B.R. Surwase and Mrs. Ranjana Reddy, Advocates for
Acquiring Body in respective applications.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 20th April 2023.

ORDER:-

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods mentioned in these applications in filing the

Cross Objections, which appears to be caused due to their poor financial condition.

3. The learned AGP as well as learned Counsel for respondent No.2 Acquiring Body strongly opposed the applications on the ground that no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay periods.

4. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the period of aforesaid delay on the enhanced compensation, if granted.

5. The Cross Objections be registered after removal of office objections, if any.

6. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)