

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**912 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.331 OF 2023
IN X-OBJST/29384/2022 WITH CA/332/2023 IN
X-OBJST/29386/2022**

**GOVIND MASAJI JANGAPALLE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, THROUGH THE
COLLECTOR, LATUR AND ANOTHER**

...

Mr. H.B. Nandagavale, Advocate holding for Mr. Vijay Sakolkar,
Advocate for the applicants.

Mr. S.S. Dande, A.G.P. for respondent No.1

Mr. T.B. Bhosale, Advocate for respondent No.2.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 20th April 2023.

ORDER:-

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods mentioned in both these applications in filing the Cross Objections, which appear to be caused due to their poor financial condition.
3. The learned AGP as well as learned Counsel for respondent No.2 Acquiring Body strongly opposed the applications on the ground that no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay periods.

4. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the period of aforesaid delay on the enhanced compensation, if granted.
5. The Cross Objections be registered after removal of office objections, if any.
6. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)