

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

924 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.16371 OF 2022
IN WP/8718/2019

RAJENDRA BAYAJI HOLE
VERSUS
MAHARASHTRA KRUSHI UDYOG VIKAS MAHAMANDAL
MARYADIT, MUMBAI AND OTHERS.

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. S J Salgar h/f Gaware
Niteen V.

AGP for Respondents: Mr. S G Sangle
Advocate for Respondent 1 : Mr Amit Savale

...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE & SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.
Dated: January 06, 2023

...

PER COURT :-

1. The applicant prays for leave to amend the petition in the light of the subsequent events.
2. The learned Advocates representing the respondents oppose the civil application.
3. Considering that subsequent events are sought to be brought on record and the respondents can file additional affidavit-in-reply, if the affidavit-in-reply is not yet filed, the civil application is allowed. Amendment be carried out within two weeks from today and a freshly typed copy of the amended petition be filed

aaa/-

on record within the same time frame. Copies be supplied to the learned Advocates for respondents.

4. The respondents are at liberty to file additional affidavit-in-reply within four weeks from today.

5. Since it is reported that respondent no.3 has passed away, the applicant prays for leave to delete respondent no.3.

6. Leave granted. Deletion be carried out forthwith.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...