

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

938 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3564 OF 2022
IN REVNST/9914/2022

DADASAHEB BHAUSAHEB POKALE
VERSUS
POOJA W/O. DADASAHEB POKLE AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Ms. Doke S. K. (Renge) h/f Mr. Doke
Kishor R.
Advocate for Respondents : Ms. Jadhav Sushama Tukaram.
...

CORAM : S. G. MEHARE, J.
DATE : 10.03.2023

PER COURT :-

1. Heard the learned respective counsels.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents strongly opposed the application contending that the reasons assigned for condonation of delay are incorrect. The applicant wants to protract the trial. Hence, delay may not be condoned.
3. Perused the application. The reasons assigned for the delay appears possible. The matter was not decided on merit. The Court is of the view that delay is liable to be condoned. Hence, the following order :

ORDER

- (i) Criminal Application is allowed.

- (ii) The delay caused in preferring the revision against the impugned judgment and order of maintenance is condoned.
- (iii) The Registry to register the revision application.

(S. G. MEHARE, J.)

...

vmk/-