



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**SECOND APPEAL NO. 338 OF 2019  
WITH SECOND APPEAL NO. 492 OF 2019  
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7206 OF 2019  
IN SA/492/2019 WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7262 OF 2019  
IN SA/338/2019**

**GAJANAN CHANGDEV MUNDLIK AND ANOTHER**

**VERSUS**

**ANIL CHANGDEV MUNDLIK AND OTHERS**

Mr. M. J. Shaikh, Advocate for the appellants

**CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.  
DATE : 11<sup>th</sup> JANUARY, 2024**

**P.C. :-**

1. None present for the respondents.
2. The order dated 8<sup>th</sup> December, 2023 passed by this court shows that since the learned counsel for the respondents agreed for final hearing of the appeal, no order was passed on civil application filed by the appellant seeking permission to sale properties which fell to their share in view of the compromise decree. In spite of this order neither respondents nor his learned counsel are present in this Court. This shows that the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents on 8<sup>th</sup> December, 2023 was only with a view to avoid hearing of the civil application.

3. To give an opportunity to respondents to make submissions, stand over to 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2024.

4. It is clarified that if the appeal is not worked out for final hearing on the part of respondents, Civil Application No. 7687 of 2022 shall be taken up for hearing and passing appropriate order.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

ssp