

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**910 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.16677 OF 2022
IN FAST/27811/2022**

**PRASHANT S/O DNYANOBA ARADWAD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH DISTRICT
COLLECTOR, LATUR AND OTHERS**

**AND
911 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.16679 OF 2022
IN FAST/27991/2022 WITH CA/16685/2022 IN
FAST/28408/2022 WITH CA/16680/2022 IN
FAST/28410/2022 WITH CA/16681/2022 IN
FAST/28414/2022 WITH CA/16682/2022 IN
FAST/28416/2022 WITH CA/16683/2022 IN
FAST/28420/2022 WITH CA/16684/2022 IN
FAST/28430/2022**

**NARAYAN S/O LAXMAN KAMBLE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR,
DIST. LATUR AND ANOTHER**

...

Mr. H.B. Nandagavale, Advocate for the applicants.
Mr. S.W. Mundhe and Mr. B.V. Virdhe, AGPs for respondent
State in respective applications.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 20th April 2023.

ORDER:-

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods as mentioned in these applications, which appear to be caused due to their poor financial condition. The

learned A.G.P. strongly opposed the applications on the ground that the delay is not properly explained. The Acquiring Body though served, but remained absent.

3. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay periods mentioned in these application stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the periods of aforesaid delay.

4. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

5. The applications is accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)