

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

989 WRIT PETITION NO.7533 OF 2011
WITH CA/13673/2011 IN WP/7533/2011 WITH CA/15180/2011 IN
WP/7533/2011

ZINGA DATTU BADGUJAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAH AND ORS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. A.P. Bhandari h/f. Mr. R.R. Sancheti
AGP for Respondents 1 & 3 : Mr. B.V. Virdhe
Advocate for Respondent 2 : Mr. G.V. Wani
...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.
DATED : 19/10/2023

PER COURT :

1. By the writ petition, the petitioners have challenged the communication dated 25.7.2011 issued by the District Superintendent of Land Records, Jalgaon and the communication dated 23.9.2011 issued by the Chief Officer and Town Planning Officer, Amalner, Municipal Council wherein it is communicated that the dispute pending for consideration of Civil Court in R.C.S. No. 88/2001 is between the petitioners and other private parties and the names of the petitioners could not be recorded in the P.R. Card.

2. However, it is now informed that R.C.S. No. 88/2001 has been compromised between the parties and in view of the same, writ petition No. 2827/2010 has been withdrawn. The learned counsel appearing for the Municipal Council has also filed affidavit stating therein that in view of the settlement of dispute between the parties in R.C.S. No. 88/2001 and in view of the factual position that the cause of the writ petition stands addressed, the writ petition may be disposed of. The affidavit is taken on

record and marked as 'X' for identification.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the Municipal Council also submits that the Municipal Council would accept the communication dated 5.9.2009, which was kept in abeyance due to pendency of the R.C.S. No. 88/2001 in the Civil Court.

4. In view of the statements made above, the writ petition is disposed of. All civil applications are disposed of.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER J.]

ssc/