

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4215 OF 2023
TAJANI VEDU PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS SECRETARY
AND OTHERS.

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Subodh P. Shah.
AGP for respondents : Mr. S.G. Sangle.

**CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE AND
S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 13th APRIL, 2023

PC.:-

The claim of the petitioner is based on the communication issued under the signature of the Deputy Executive Officer, dated 6th August, 2010 and similar other communications.

2. According to petitioner, the compensation qua standing trees in his land was not paid and as such, the respondents were of the view that the petitioner can be given ex-gratia compensation.

3. So far as claim of the petitioner is concerned, not only the same is time barred but there is also no legal right in the petitioner to claim such amount. As such, this Court cannot exercise discretion favour of the petitioner. Petition is accordingly dismissed.

**[S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR]
JUDGE**

**[NITIN W. SAMBRE]
JUDGE**

grt/--