

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14898 OF 2022
IN WP/3138/2022**

**OMKUMAR AYANATHRAO SHINDE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Adv. Pratiksha Kale h/f Mr. V. S. Kadam
AGP for Respondents/State: Mr. A. S. Shinde
Advocate for Respondent No.2: Miss Nikita Gore
Advocate for Respondent No.6: Mr. Vinay Deshpande h/f Mr. S. V. Dank

...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 03.02.2023

PER COURT :

- . We have heard both the sides.
2. The writ petition has been dismissed for want of steps of removing office objections. There is a delay as well in seeking restoration.
3. For the reasons mentioned in the application and considering the nature of dispute and contents of the application, the application is allowed. The delay is condoned and the writ petition is restored subject to the condition that the office objections would now be removed within two weeks.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)