

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.12072 OF 2021

Aditya Bhanudas Putwad
Maroti Madhav Putwad ... **Petitioners**

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... **Respondents**

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Sunil M. Vibhute
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2 : Mr. S.G. Sangle
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.J.**

DATE : 03.08.2023

PER COURT :

Heard.

2. Motion is made for speaking to the minutes of the order dated 01.08.2023.

3. The learned advocate for the petitioners points out that the petitioners have been granted conditional validity, however, the condition erroneously mentions that it would be subject to the decision to be taken by the Committee in the reopened matters when already the reopened matters have been closed and that order is under challenge in a writ petition. Therefore, the condition should have been subject to the outcome of the writ petitions of the blood relatives of the petitioners.

4. Necessary corrections be made in the part 'A' of the operative part, to replace the words "subject to the decision to be taken by the Committee in the reopened matters" by words "subject to the outcome of writ petitions of blood relatives of the petitioners".

5. The motion is disposed of.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)