

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

943 WRIT PETITION NO.4596 OF 2014
MOHAN KASHINATHRAO KALE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Jadhav N.L.
AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 & 6 : Mr. P.K. Lakhotiya
Advocate for Respondent No. 7 : Mr. Choudhary Nitin S.

CORAM : **MANGESH S. PATIL &
S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

DATE : **05.01.2023.**

PER COURT :

Heard both the sides.

2. The petitioners are primarily aggrieved by the fact that in spite of their proposal seeking implementation of an order for giving benefit of rehabilitation by way of allotment of 12.50% of developed land, no decision is being taken for years together.

3. The learned A.G.P. submits that though the affidavit-in-reply has been filed in the year 2015 he is not aware about the latest position.

4. Mr. Jadhav learned advocate submits that the petitioners would not contended if a direction is given to the respondent nos. 1 and 2 for passing appropriate order/taking suitable decision on the petitioners' proposal.

5. We dispose of the writ petition by directing the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to decide the petitioners' claim as expeditiously as possible and in any event within six months from today, if it has not been decided already.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

mkd/-