

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**912 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.15006 OF 2022
IN FAST/26736/2022 WITH CA/15008/2022 IN
FAST/26998/2022 WITH CA/15007/2022 IN
FAST/27013/2022**

**SHESHRAO S/O MAROTI SHRAVANE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE COLLECTOR,
JALNA AND OTHERS**

**AND
913 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.15026 OF 2022
IN FAST/26749/2022**

**ZAHOOOR AHEMAD S/O HABIBUR REHMAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUG THE COLLECTOR,
JALNA AND OTHERS**

**AND
914 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.15027 OF 2022
IN FAST/26753/2022**

**VIJAYSINHA S/O SHANKARSINHA HAJARAI (DIED) THR LRS
SUMAN AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE COLLECTOR,
JALNA AND OTHERS**

...

Mr. Vitthal Bhise, Advocate for the applicants.
Mr. Mr. S.S. Dande, Mr. S.N. Kendre and B.V. Virdhe, A.G.P. for
respondent – State in respective applications.
Mr. R.A. Tambe and Mrs. Vaishali Patil, Advocates for
Acquiring Body in respective applications.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 26th April 2023.

ORDER:-

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods mentioned in these applications, which appear to be caused due to their poor financial condition.
3. The learned AGPs as well as respective learned Counsel for the Acquiring Body strongly opposed the applications on the ground that no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay periods.
4. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the period of aforesaid delay.
4. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.
5. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)