

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

19 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16454 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 26054 OF 2022

SANJAY AASARAM PISE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR JALNA
AND OTHERS

AND

20 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16455 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 26061 OF 2022

DATTATRAY BHAURAO GAIKWAD AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR JALNA
AND OTHERS

AND

21 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16793 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 28235 OF 2022

GAJANAN JANARDHAN KHANDARE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR JALNA
AND OTHERS

AND

22 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16798 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 25811 OF 2022

GAJANAN BHAGWAN GITE (DIED) THR LRS MANDABAI AND
ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR JALNA
AND OTHERS

AND

**23 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16821 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 25850 OF 2022**

**VITTHAL S/O SHESHRAO GITE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR JALNA
AND OTHERS**

AND

**24 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16825 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 25881 OF 2022
WITH CA/16824/2022 IN FAST/25868/2022**

**GAJANAN S/O NIVRUTTI KHANDARE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR JALNA
AND OTHERS**

AND

**25 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16826 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 25832 OF 2022
WITH CA/16827/2022 IN FAST/25845/2022**

**SURESHSING NARAYANSING BHAUR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR COLLECTOR JALNA
AND OTHERS**

...

Mr. D.M. Kakade – Advocate for Applicants

Mr. S.S. Dande, Mr. A.B. Chate – AGP's for Respondents, State

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 27th March, 2023

PER COURT :

1. Heard.

2. Issue notice to the respondents.
3. The learned A.G.P. waives service of notice for all the respondents, State.
4. Heard rival submissions.
5. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay periods mentioned in these applications, which appears to be caused due to their poor financial condition.
6. The learned A.G.P. strongly opposed the application on the ground that no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay periods.
7. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the periods of aforesaid delay.
8. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of

office objections, if any.

9. The Civil Applications are accordingly disposed of.

[SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE]
JUDGE

Pooja K.