

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

935 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11002 OF 2023
IN FAST/25749/2023 WITH CA/11003/2023 IN
FAST/25749/2023 WITH CA/11029/2023 IN
FAST/25854/2023 WITH CA/11030/2023 IN
FAST/25854/2023 WITH CA/11027/2023 IN
FAST/25860/2023 WITH CA/11028/2023 IN
FAST/25860/2023 WITH CA/11025/2023 IN
FAST/25864/2023 WITH CA/11026/2023 IN
FAST/25864/2023 WITH CA/11022/2023 IN
FAST/25872/2023 WITH CA/11024/2023 IN
FAST/25872/2023 WITH CA/11020/2023 IN
FAST/25879/2023 WITH CA/11021/2023 IN
FAST/25879/2023 WITH CA/11006/2023 IN
FAST/25884/2023 WITH CA/11007/2023 IN
FAST/25884/2023 WITH CA/11018/2023 IN
FAST/25903/2023 WITH CA/11019/2023 IN
FAST/25903/2023 WITH CA/11014/2023 IN
FAST/25928/2023 WITH CA/11016/2023 IN
FAST/25926/2023 WITH CA/11015/2023 IN
FAST/25928/2023 WITH CA/11004/2023 IN
FAST/25932/2023 WITH CA/11005/2023 IN
FAST/25932/2023 WITH CA/11017/2023 IN
FAST/25926/2023 WITH CA/11012/2023 IN
FAST/25936/2023 WITH CA/11013/2023 IN
FAST/25936/2023 WITH CA/11010/2023 IN
FAST/25941/2023 WITH CA/11011/2023 IN
FAST/25941/2023 WITH CA/11008/2023 IN
FAST/25946/2023 WITH CA/11009/2023 IN
FAST/25946/2023

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF BORDER SECURITY FORCE BSF
STC BSF CHAKUR DIST LATUR

VERSUS

MADHAV KASHINATH SHANKRE AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Applicants: Mr. Ajay G. Talhar

AGP for Respondent/State: Mr. K. B. Jadhavar

Advocate for the Respondents/Original Claimants:

Mr. D. A. Bide

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 31st AUGUST, 2023

PER COURT:

1. In the civil applications for stay and first appeals, issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 09.11.2023. Mr. K. B. Jadhavar, learned A.G.P. waives service of notice on behalf of the State. Mr. D. A. Bide, learned counsel waives service of notice on behalf of the original claimants.

2. In the civil applications for stay, there shall be ad interim stay to the impugned award passed by the 6th Joint Civil Judge Senior Division, Latur, subject to deposit of the entire amount along with interest within a period of twelve (12) weeks from today.

3. In all the delay condonation applications, Mr. D. A. Bide, learned counsel appears for the original claimants and opposed the applications.

4. For the reasons stated in the applications for condonation of delay, more particularly, for administrative reasons, the delay is condoned as statutory rights of the appellant would be frustrated. All the civil applications for condonation of delay are disposed of.

5. Stand over to 09.11.2023.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.]

marathe