

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

59 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 11368 OF 2021  
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 22977 OF 2021

GOVIND TUKARAM DUDDE AND ANOTHER  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE  
COLLECTOR LATUR AND ANOTHER

**AND**

60 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2415 OF 2022  
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 27033 OF 2021

ABHIMANYU SRIHARI SAMPATE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR  
LATUR AND ANOTHER

**AND**

61 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2606 OF 2022  
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 27206 OF 2021

SAVITA DILIP BHANDARE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR  
LATUR AND ANOTHER

**AND**

63 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3073 OF 2022  
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 27066 OF 2021

RAMESHWAR RANGNATH ARADLE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR  
LATUR AND ANOTHER

**AND**

65 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3076 OF 2022

IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 27038 OF 2021

YAMUNABAI W/O DNYANOBA HARIDAS AND OTHERS  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR  
LATUR AND ANOTHER

AND

66 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3148 OF 2022  
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 27059 OF 2021

DNYANOBA VYONKABA HARIDAS (DIED) THROUGH LRS  
YAMUNABAI W/O DNYANOBA HARIDAS AND OTHERS  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR  
LATUR AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. Ajinkya Deshpande h/f. Mr. A.S. Deshpande – Advocate for  
Applicants

Mr. S.N. Kendre and Mr. S.P. Tiwari – AGP's for Respondent  
No.1, State

Mr. A.V. Gondhalekar, Mr. A.M. Phule, Mr. B.V. Virdhe, Mr.  
S.S. Dande, Mr. R.B. Deshpande and Mr. R.B. Singare –  
Advocates for respective Respondents

....

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.**

**DATE : 17<sup>th</sup> August, 2023**

**PER COURT :**

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of  
delay periods mentioned in these applications, which appear  
to be caused due to their poor financial condition.

3. The learned A.G.P. as well as respective learned Counsel for acquiring body strongly opposed the applications on the ground that, no reasonable cause is mentioned for condoning the delay periods.

4. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that, the applicants shall not claim any interest for the periods of aforesaid delay.

5. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

6. The civil applications are accordingly disposed of.

**[ SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE ]**  
**JUDGE**