

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

915 BAIL APPLICATION NO.1574 OF 2022

PRITAM ROHIDAS BANSODE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Gade Akash D.
APP for Respondents: Mr. K. S. Patil
Advocate for Respondents : Pratibha Ghadge
...

CORAM : S.G. MEHARE, J.
DATE : JANUARY 18,2023

PER COURT :

The report of the learned Additional District Judge-1 Ambajogai, District Beed dated 12.01.2023 in the matter of Bail Application No. 1574/2022 (Pritam Rohidas Bansode Vs. The State) reveals that the concerned clerk of District Court did not attach the writ of the High Court to the Court record. However, it appears that the accused was produced on several dates and the learned Additional District Judge-1 examined the witnesses. In the light of these facts, the application of the applicant would not stand.

2. However, the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, Beed is directed to take appropriate action against the errant clerk for not promptly attaching the High Court writ to

the file and report to the High Court.

3. With the above findings, the application stands disposed of.

(S. G. MEHARE)
JUDGE

ysk