

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**63 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14103 OF 2022
IN FAST/25114/2022**

**SUMANBAI ASARAM JADHAV
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE COLLECTOR, JALNA AND
OTHERS**

...
**Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Manwatkar Dinesh U.
AGP for Respondents – State : Mr. A. B. Chate**

...
**AND
64 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14105 OF 2022
IN FAST/25103/2022**

**RAMNATH ADINATH SURYAWANSHI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE COLLECTOR, JALNA AND
OTHERS**

...
**Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Manwatkar Dinesh U.
AGP for Respondents – State : Mr. B. V. Virdhe**

...
**65 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14106 OF 2022
IN FAST/25101/2022**

**GOVARDHAN HARICHANDRA PAWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE COLLECTOR, JALNA AND
OTHERS**

...
**Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Manwatkar Dinesh U.
AGP for Respondents – State : Mr. S. N. Kendre**

...
**66 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14107 OF 2022
IN FAST/25097/2022**

**ASARAM RANGNATH PAWAR (DIED) THR LRS SAGRABAI AND
OTHERS**

VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE COLLECTOR, JALNA AND
OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Manwatkar Dinesh U.
AGP for Respondents – State : Mr. A. B. Chate

...

67 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14110 OF 2022
IN FAST/25099/2022

RAMESH ASARAM PAWAR AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE COLLECTOR, JALNA AND
OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Manwatkar Dinesh U.
AGP for Respondents – State : Mr. B. V. Virdhe

....

68 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14112 OF 2022
IN FAST/25122/2022

DASHRATH KAKUJI BOKE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE COLLECTOR, JALNA AND
OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Manwatkar Dinesh U.
AGP for Respondents – State : Mr. S. N. Kendre

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 23.01.2023

PER COURT :

Heard rival submissions. The respective applicants in these applications are seeking condonation of delay periods as mentioned therein in filing appeals which appears to be caused due to their financial crisis. The learned AGP strongly opposed the applications

on the ground that the delay is not properly explained.

2. However, considering the statutory right of the applicants, the delay periods mentioned in these applications stand condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid period of delay.

3. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

4. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE)
JUDGE

.....

shp/-