

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.389 OF 2021
IN FAST/25407/2020**

**M.K.V.D.C. LTD., THR EX. ENGINEER, OSMANABAD
MEDIUM PROJECT DIV. OSMANABAD
VS
BAPU KRUSHNA BHILLARE AND ORS**

**AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.391 OF 2021
IN FAST/25993/2020**

**M.K.V.D.C., LTD., THR EX. ENGINEER, OSMANABAD
MEDIUM PROJECT DIV. OSMANABAD
VS
HARIDAS GOKULDAS BHILLARE AND ORS**

**AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.393 OF 2021
IN FAST/25996/2020**

**M.K.V.D.C.,LTD., THE EX. ENGINEER, OSMANABAD
MEDIUM PROJECT DIV. OSMANABAD
VS
RAMRAJE GOKULDAS BHILLARE AND ORS**

Mr. A. S. Shelke, Advocate for the Applicants.
Mr. P. M. Kulkarni and Mr. S. S. Dande, AGP for Respondents-
State.
Mr. M. K. Jadhav h/f Mr. A. S. More, Advocate for respective
Respondent.

**AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.413 OF 2021
IN FAST/25199/2020**

**M.K.V.D.C.,LTD., THR EX. ENGINEER, OSMANABAD
MEDIUM PROJECT DIV. OSMANABAD
VS
JANKIRAM BABU BHILLARE AND ORS**

AND

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.421 OF 2021
IN FAST/25289/2020**

M.K.V.D.C. LTD., THR EX. ENGINEER, OSMANABAD
MEDIUM PRO. DIV. OSMANABAD
VS
RAMCHANDRA DEORAO BHILLARE AND ORS

**AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.423 OF 2021
IN FAST/25295/2020**

M.K.V.D.C. LTD., THR EX. ENGINEER, OSMANABAD
MEDIUM PRO. DIV. OSMANABAD
VS
LAXMIBAI ANKUSH BHILLARE AND ORS

**AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.420 OF 2021
IN FAST/25299/2020**

M.K.V.D.C. LTD., THR EX. ENGINEER, OSMANABAD
MEDIUM PRO. DIV. OSMANABAD
VS
BANSI RAMA PATULE AND ORS

**AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.417 OF 2021
IN FAST/25303/2020**

M.K.V.D.C. LTD., THR EX. ENGINEER, OSMANABAD
MEDIUM PRO. DIV. OSMANABAD
VS
ANKUSH KUNDALIK BHILLARE AND ORS

**AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.425 OF 2021
IN FAST/25308/2020**

M.K.V.D.C. LTD., THR EX. ENGINEER, OSMANABAD
MEDIUM PRO. DIV. OSMANABAD
VS
BHIVRAJ NAGNATH TITAVE AND ORS

Mr. A. S. Shelke, Advocate for the Applicants.

Mr. P. M. Kulkarni and Mr. S. S. Dande, AGP for Respondents-State.

Mr. M. K. Jadhav h/f Mr. A. S. More, Advocate for respective Respondent.

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.257 OF 2021
IN FAST/22201/2020

THE EX. ENGINEER, OSMANABAD MEDIUM
PROJECT DIV. OSMANABAD AND ORS
VS
SOMINATH BABURAO PATULE

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.259 OF 2021
IN FAST/22268/2020

THE EX. ENGINEER, OSMANABAD MEDIUM
PROJECT DIV. OSMANABAD AND ORS
VS
KASHINATH BALAKRAM PAWAR (DIED) THR LRS
BHIMABAI AND ORS

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.261 OF 2021
IN FAST/22277/2020

THE EX. ENGINEER, OSMANABAD MEDIUM
PROJECT DIV. OSMANABAD AND ORS
VS
DNYANESHWAR SAHEBRAO MORE

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.265 OF 2021
IN FAST/22289/2020

THE EX. ENGINEER, OSMANABAD MEDIUM
PROJECT DIV. OSMANABAD AND ORS
VS
VIKAS SOMINATH PATULE U/G OF REAL MOTHER
SHOBHA

AND

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.263 OF 2021
IN FAST/22297/2020**

THE EX. ENGINEER, OSMANABAD MEDIUM
PROJECT DIV. OSMANABAD AND ORS
VS
SAKHARBAI BABURAO PATULE

**AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.271 OF 2021
IN FAST/22304/2020**

THE EX. ENGINEER, OSMANABAD MEDIUM
PROJECT DIV. OSMANABAD AND ORS
VS
MAHANANDA NAVNATH MORE

**AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.269 OF 2021
IN FAST/22317/2020**

THE EX. ENGINEER, OSMANABAD MEDIUM
PROJECT DIV. OSMANABAD AND ORS
VS
ASHA SHIVHARI MORE

**AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.267 OF 2021
IN FAST/22325/2020**

THE EX. ENGINEER, OSMANABAD MEDIUM
PROJECT DIV. OSMANABAD AND ORS
VS
BABURAO BAYAJI PATULE

Mr. Rahul A. Tambe, Advocate for the Applicants.

Mr. P. M. Kulkarni and Mr. S. S. Dande, AGP for Respondents-
State.

Mr. M. K. Jadhav h/f Mr. A. S. More, Advocate for respective
Respondent.

**CORAM : S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.
DATE : 11th AUGUST, 2023.**

PER COURT:-

1. By these applications, the applicants seek to condone the delay caused in filing the appeals challenging the award passed by the Reference Court under the provisions of the Land Acquisition Act.

2. The learned Advocate appearing for the respective applicants submits that the delay has occasioned on account of administrative and procedural aspects. The applicants have not derived any disadvantage by making such delay. They invite attention of this Court to the reasons as stated in the applications and submit that the sufficient cause is made out to condone the delay.

3. The learned Advocate appearing for the respondents opposes the contentions on the ground that the reasons as stated in the applications do not constitute sufficient cause.

4. Having considered the submissions advanced and after going through the averments in the applications, it is apparent that applicants require to obtain various administrative and procedural sanctions. Time consumes in getting the such compliance. In that view of the matter, delay occurred in filing the appeals is sufficiently explained. Hence, case is made out to condone the delay. Hence, the following order:-

ORDER

- a. Civil Applications are allowed.
- b. Delay caused in filing the appeals is condoned.

- c. The appeals be registered subject to removal of office objections, if any.
- d. Civil Applications are disposed of.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR)
JUDGE

Devendra/August-2023