

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
AURANGABAD BENCH : AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO.10114 OF 2022**

Indrakumar s/o Trimbakrao Kale

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others

Respondents

Mr. K. J. Suryawanshi, advocate for the petitioner

Mr. S. G. Karlekar, AGP for Respondent No.1.

Mr. S. S. Tope, advocate for Respondents No.2 and 3.

**CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA, ACTING CJ &  
GAURI GODSE, J.**

**DATE : 23<sup>rd</sup> January, 2023.**

**PER COURT :**

1           The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has given representation to Respondent No. 3, but the same has not yet been decided.

2           The learned Counsel for Respondents No.2 and 3 submits that the authority to decide the representation of the petitioner is Respondent No.2.

3           Mr. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner will make a representation to Respondent No.2 within two weeks from today.

4           In case such representation is filed by the petitioner to Respondent No.2, the Respondent No.2 shall decide the same on its own merits, in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably within three months from the date of its receipt.

5           Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

**[GAURI GODSE, J.]**

**[ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE]**

adb