

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

950 WRIT PETITION NO.10115 OF 2022

**DNYANESHWAR SHRIDHAR KEDARE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
THROUGH ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Pramod S. Gaikwad
AGP for Respondent – State : Mr. S.B. Yawalkar
Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 & 4 : Mr. S.S. Tope
Advocate for Respondent No.5 : Mr. G.D. Kale
Advocate for Respondent No.6 : Mr. V.B. Garud

...

**CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE AND
S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 21-04-2023

PER COURT :

. The contention of petitioner is respondent nos.5 and 6 have carried out illegal construction and respondent nos. 3 and 4 are not taking any action in the matter.

2. Mr. Tope, learned counsel appearing for respondent nos.3 and 4 submits that already notices are issued in relation to illegal construction and appropriate steps will be taken within a period of twelve weeks from today.

3. In view of aforesaid statement made on behalf of respondent nos. 3 and 4, writ petition stands disposed of.

4. In case if respondent nos.5 and 6 are served with the notices by respondent nos. 3 and 4, it shall be open for them to contest the same by tendering their explanation / may question the same before the competent authority.

(S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.)

(NITIN W. SAMBRE , J.)

GGP