

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

6 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10854 OF 2023 IN ITAST/24380/2023 WITH
CA/10855/2023 IN ITAST/24400/2023;
CA/10859/2023 IN ITAST/24402/2023;
CA/10858/2023 IN ITAST/24404/2023;
CA/10857/2023 IN ITAST/24407/2023;
CA/10856/2023 IN ITAST/24409/2023

M/S MINAKSHI BUILDERS THROUGH ITS PARTNER BINDU RAJESH JAIN
VERSUS
INCOME TAX OFFICER, WARD I AHMEDNAGAR

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Malpani Mohit Rajendra
Advocate for the respondent : Mrs. Kalpalata Patil Bharaswadkar
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : 25 SEPTEMBER 2023

PC :

Heard. In all these matters, we have heard both the sides seeking condonation of delay in filing the tax appeals. The delay is of 132 days.

2. The learned advocate for the revenue strongly opposes the request.

3. Considering the nature of the dispute coupled with the fact that the case being demonstrated has not been controverted, the applications are allowed. Delays are condoned subject to the condition that the appellants deposit costs of Rs.2000/- within three weeks qua each appeal. The revenue shall be entitled to claim those costs.

**[SHAILESH P. BRAHME]
JUDGE**

**[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE**

arp/