

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**959 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2179 OF 2018
IN FAST/26364/2017**

**PUNJU MADHAV WANI
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, THR COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND
ANOTHER**

...

Ms. Sakshi Kale, Advocate holding for Mr. Ajeet B. Kale,
Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. B.V. Virdhe, A.G.P. for respondent No.1.

Mr. Shivraj B. Kadu, Advocate for respondent No.2.

...

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : 3rd January 2023.**

ORDER:-

1. Heard rival submissions. The applicant is seeking condonation of delay of 2925 days in filing the appeal which appears to be caused due to his financial crisis. Learned AGP as well as learned Counsel for the Acquiring Body strongly opposed the application on the ground that there is also circulation delay. However, considering the statutory right of the applicant, the delay of 2925 days stands condoned subject to condition that the applicant shall not claim any interest for the aforesaid delay period and also for the delay in circulating the matter belatedly.

2. The appeal be placed for admission after removal of office objections.

3. The Civil Application is disposed of accordingly.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)