

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

978 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2066 OF 2022
IN FAST/25500/2021

NILESH MANIK MULE
VERSUS
MAHADEVI PRAKASH TAWASKAR AND ANOTHER

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. S. B. Bhosale
Advocate for Respondent No.1 : Ms. Sayali S. Tekale h/f
Mr. P. D. Dadpe

....
CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATED : 18/07/2023.

P. C. :

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The applicant is seeking condonation of delay of 546 days, which appears to be caused due to his poor financial condition. The learned counsel for respondent No.1 strongly opposed the application on the ground that delay is not properly explained.
3. However, considering the statutory right of the applicant and for the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed and the delay of 546 days in this application stands condoned subject to condition that the applicant shall not claim any interest for the period of aforesaid delay.
4. The appeal be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.
5. The application is accordingly disposed of.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)